nannn

B(C₆F₅)₃-Catalyzed Hydrodesulfurization Using Hydrosilanes – Metal-Free Reduction of Sulfides

Kodai Saito, Kazumi Kondo, and Takahiko Akiyama*

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Gakushuin Univers[ity](#page-2-0), 1-5-1 Mejiro, Toshima-ku, Tokyo 171-8588, Japan

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-2-0)STRACT: $B(C_6F_5)_3$ -catalyzed hydrodesulfurization of carbon−sulfur bonds was achieved using triethylsilane as the reducing agent. The corresponding products were obtained in good yields under mild reaction conditions. This protocol could be applied to the reduction of sulfides, including benzyl and alkyl sulfides and dithianes, with high chemoselectivities.

The importance of the carbon–sulfur (C−S) bond is
reflected in the extensive use of sulfur-containing building
blocks in diverse areas of surthatic exemic chamistry. The high blocks in diverse areas of synthetic organic chemistry. The high demand for organosulfur compounds is mainly attributed to the various functions of the sulfur atom attached to the carbon atom, 1 such as stabilizing the adjacent carbanions, 2 acting as a leaving group for reductive metalation, 3 acting as an electrophile [f](#page-2-0)or cross-coupling reaction,⁴ etc.⁵ Cleavage [o](#page-2-0)f the C−S bond is important for th[e](#page-2-0) removal of the sulfur unit.⁶ However, methods for C−S bond cleavage [a](#page-2-0)re h[ig](#page-2-0)hly limited due to the inertness of the bond.

Hydrodesulfurization (HDS), which is the conversion of a C−SR bond to a C−H bond, is the simplest transformation reaction of the C−S bond (Figure 1). Although main-group

[M]: Transition metal, Alkali metal

This work

Figure 1. HDS of organosulfur compounds and concepts presented in this work.

metal reagents⁷ or transition metal catalysts, 8 including Raney nickel, are typically employed for the cleavage of the C−S bond of sulfides, th[os](#page-3-0)e reagents are generally flam[m](#page-3-0)able and difficult to handle and require use of more than their stoichiometric amounts. In addition, the chemoselective reduction of the C−S bond is rather difficult by previous methods. Therefore, work is ongoing to develop a novel method for the activation and transformation of the C−S bond by means of an easily available and handled nonmetal catalyst.

Tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane $B(C_6F_5)_3$ is widely used in organic synthesis, and the combination of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ and a Lewis base, in particular, has been recognized as an efficient tool for the activation of inert molecules.⁹ The combined use of $B(C_6F_5)$ ₃ and hydrosilanes is also one of the representative methods for the generation of a s[ily](#page-3-0)lium cation.¹⁰ Piers and Gevorgyan et al. independently reported the cleavage reaction of the C−O−H and C−O−C bonds of alcohol[s](#page-3-0) and ethers based on the B(C_6F_5)₃−Et₃SiH system.^{10b,c} Subsequent to their reports, several research groups developed the reduction reactions of ketones and imines usin[g thi](#page-3-0)s system. However, the application of this method to the cleavage of C−S bonds had not been reported. We wish to report herein a $B(C_6F_5)_{3}$ catalyzed HDS of C−S bonds using triethylsilane as the reducing agent. A wide substrate scope was confirmed in the reactions of benzyl (tertiary C−SR) and alkyl (quaternary C− SR) sulfides and dithioacetals and dithioketals with high chemoselectivities.

An initial attempt was carried out with benzyl sulfide 1a and an excess amount of triethylsilane in the presence of a catalytic amount of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ in CDCl₃ (Table 1). When 3.0 equiv of Et₃SiH were used for $HDS₁¹¹$ target product 2a was obtained in 98% yield by NMR measurement (ent[ry](#page-1-0) 1). PhSiH₃, Ph₃SiH, and (EtO) ₃SiH were less e[ff](#page-3-0)ective for this HDS (entries 2–4). To our delight, 2a was obtained in high yield with a low catalyst loading in CH_2Cl_2 (2 mol %, entry 5). When this reaction was performed in larger scale, 2a was successfully obtained in 92% isolated yield (entry 6). On the other hand, the use of BF_3 . $OEt₂$, which is one of the representative boron Lewis acids, was not effective, and no 2a was formed (entries 7 and 8). Finally, the optimum conditions were established as follows: 2 mol % $B(C_6F_5)_3$, Et₃SiH (3 equiv), and sulfide (1.0 equiv) at room temperature.

Next, we explored the substrate scope of this HDS reaction (Scheme 1). Both aryl and alkyl sulfides 1a−1c underwent highly efficient HDS to afford 2-ethylnaphthalene (2a) (85−

Received: June 6, 2015 Published: June 23, 2015

Table 1. Examination of Reaction Conditions^a

^a Conditions: Reactions were carried out with 1a (0.1 mmol), Et_3SiH (0.3 mmol), and $B(C_6F_5)_3$ in CDCl₃ (0.7 mL) at rt for 30 min. ^bNMR yields. ^cIsolated yields. ^{*d*}Reaction time was 1 h in CH₂Cl₂. ^{*e*}1a (5 mmol) was used in $CH₂Cl₂$. No reaction.

a Conditions: Reactions were carried out with starting material 1 (0.1 mmol, Ar = p -ClC₆H₄), Et₃SiH (0.3 mmol), and B(C₆F₅)₃ (2 mol %) in CDCl₃ (0.7 mL) at room temperature (details in Supporting Information). Isolated yields. \rm^bNMR yields. \rm^cEt_3SiH (0.6 mmol) and $B(C_6F_5)_3$ (10 mol %) were used. ${}^{d}Et_3SiH$ (0.6 mmol) and $B(C_6F_5)_3$ $(20 \text{ mol } %)$ were used. $E_{5,3}$ SiH (0.6 mmol) was used. f Iso[mer](#page-2-0) [ratio](#page-2-0) [is](#page-2-0) [3.4/1](#page-2-0) [\(detai](#page-2-0)ls in Supporting Information).

95% yields). [Ethyl](#page-2-0) [and](#page-2-0) [isopropyl](#page-2-0) group substituted benzyl sulfides 1d and 1e afforded target products 2d and 2e in 96%

and quantitative yields, respectively. 1f derived from 1-indanol also participated in HDS to furnish Indane (2f) in 73% yield, which is a volatile material, and the formation of 2f was confirmed by ¹H NMR measurement. Next, we examined the chemoselectivity of the present HDS reaction. Benzyl sulfide 1g bearing a 4-bromophenyl group gave 4-butylbromobenzene (2g) in 83% yield without affecting the C−Br bond. Substrate 1h bearing a 2-thienyl group, which has potential coordinating ability to a Lewis acid and is easily cleaved by using Raney nickel, also worked well. Alkenyl¹² and alkynyl groups were not affected under the reaction conditions. Alkyl sulfides 1k and 1l connected to a quaternary c[arb](#page-3-0)on atom were reduced to hydrocarbons in good yields. Allylsulfide 1m could be employed for this HDS to afford the product in high yield involving the isomerization of a double bond. In contrast, primary benzyl sulfide 1n, secondary alkyl sulfide 1o, aryl sulfides 1p and 1q, and propargyl sulfide 1r did not undergo HDS at all.

Gevorgyan et al. reported the demethylation of anisole derivatives using $B(C_6F_5)_3$ and Et₃SiH.^{10d} When we subjected benzyl sulfides 1s and 1t bearing a methoxy group to the reactions, both methyl ether and ar[yl](#page-3-0) sulfide were readily cleaved to afford silyl-protected phenols in 95% and 82% yields, respectively (Scheme 2). Interestingly, the HDS rate was higher than the demethylation rate when a competition experiment using 1.5 equiv of Et_3SiH was performed.

a Conditions: Reactions were carried out with starting material (0.1 mmol), Et₃SiH (0.3 mmol), and B(C_6F_5)₃ (2 mol %) in CDCl₃ (0.7 mL) at room temperature (details in Supporting Information). Isolated yields.

To further test the flexibility of this [methodology,](#page-2-0) [attempt](#page-2-0)s were made to perform HDS of dithioacetals (Scheme 3). It is known that dithioacetals are useful umpolungs of carbonyl groups and applicable skeletons for the construc[ti](#page-2-0)on of additional C−C bonds.^{2,13} The Raney nickel catalyzed HDS of dithianes is frequently used for the conversion of a dithioacetal unit into [a](#page-2-0) [m](#page-3-0)ethylene unit. However, methods for the conversion of a dithioacetal into a methylene unit are limited to transition metal catalyzed reactions or main-group metal-mediated reactions.¹⁴ Dithioacetals 4a, 4b, and 4c underwent selective cleavage of one of the C−S bonds to furnish 5a, 1o, and 5c in [hig](#page-3-0)h yields. The results indicate that HDS of dithioacetals has similar chemoselectivity to that of the former sulfides. Encouraged by the results, we examined HDS of dithiolane and dithiane. Use of 4d and 4e, which were

a Conditions: Reactions were carried out with starting material (0.1 mmol), Et₃SiH (0.3–0.6 mmol), and B(C_6F_5)₃ (2 mol %) in CDCl₃ (0.7 mL) at room temperature (details in Supporting Information). Isolated yields.

derived from 2-acetylnaphthalene, furnished 2a in 98% and 93% yields, respectively. Meanwhile, treatment of dithiane 4f and 4g, which are synthesized from 2-naphthalenecarbaldehyde, resulted in the formation of ring-opening thiols 5f and 5g in quantitative and 98% yields, respectively. Although the transformations had been reported, all of them required a catalytic amount of transition metal or more than the stoichiometric amount of metal reagents.¹⁴

To prove the utility of this HDS, we examined the deuteration reaction of a C−S bond [ba](#page-3-0)sed on this HDS method (Scheme 4). When substrates 1b and 4d were treated

Scheme 4. HDS Using Et_3SiD^a

a Conditions: Reactions were carried out with starting material (0.1 mmol), Et₃SiD (0.3 mmol), and B(C_6F_5)₃ (2 mol %) in CDCl₃ (0.1 M) at room temperature (details in Supporting Information). Isolated yields.

with Et₃SiD in the presence of a catalytic amount of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ in CDCl₃, corresponding deuterated 2-ethylnaphthalenes $2a-d_1$ and $2a-d_2$ were obtained in high yields with >97% D.

The proposed reaction mechanism is shown in Scheme 5. A silylium cation, which was generated by the reaction of $Et₃SiH$ with $B(C_6F_5)_3$, activates the sulfur atom to give a carbocation

Scheme 5. Proposed Reaction Mechanism

intermediate in path A. The intermediate is rapidly reduced by the hydride on the borate to give the product involving the regeneration of $B(C_6F_5)_3$. As another plausible reaction pathway, a substitution reaction by hydroborate via the sulfide intermediate activated by the silylium cation in an S_N^2 manner could also occur (path B).¹⁵

In summary, we have applied the $B(C_6F_5)_3-Et_3SiH$ system to HDS of various sulfid[es](#page-3-0) via the silylium cation catalyzed activation of the sulfur atom. Benzyl sulfides as well as secondary and tertiary sulfides were selectively reduced to hydrocarbons. On the other hand, among the alkyl sulfides, tertiary ones were removed by employing the same conditions. The highly chemoselective HDS could be applied to the deprotection reaction of dithioacetals. Dithioketals were converted into the corresponding hydrocarbons, and a ringopening thiol-bridged sulfide bond was obtained by the reaction of dithioacetals. Further investigation of the mechanism of and application to the synthesis of more complex molecules is underway in our laboratory.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Experimental procedures, analytical data for all new compounds, NMR spectra for the products. The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.5b01651.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: takahiko.akiyama@gakushuin.ac.jp.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ REFERENCES

(1) For selected reviews on the activation of the carbon−sulfur bonds, see: (a) Wang, L.; He, W.; Yu, Z. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 599. (b) Pan, F.; Shi, Z.-J. ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 280.

(2) For a review of sulfur stabilized carbanions, see: Grö bel, B.-T.; Seebach, D. Synthesis 1977, 357.

(3) For a review, see: (a) Cohen, T.; Bhupathy, M. Acc. Chem. Res. 1989, 22, 152.

(4) For reviews, see: (a) Dubbaka, S. R.; Vogel, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 7674. (b) Modha, S. G.; Mehta, V. P.; Van der Eycken, E. V. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 5042. (c) Luh, T.-Y. Acc. Chem. Res. 1991, 24, 257.

(5) For oxidation of sulfides, see: (a) Madesclaire, M. Tetrahedron 1986, 42, 5459. Chiral sulfur compounds, see: (b) Posner, G. N. In The Chemistry of Sulfones and Sulfoxides; Patai, S., Rappoport, Z., Stirling, C. J. M., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.: 1988; Chapter 16, pp 823−849. Sulfur-containing ylide, see: (c) Gololobov, Y. G.;

Nesmeyanov, A. N.; Iysenko, V. P.; Boldeskul, I. E. Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 2609. (d) Li, A.-H.; Dai, L.-X.; Aggarwal, V. K. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 2341. (e) Aggarwal, V. K. Synlett 1998, 329. (f) Masdeu-Bultó, A. M.; Diéguez, M.; Martin, E.; Gómez, M. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2003, 242, 159.

(6) For reviews on reductive desulfurization, see: (a) Rentner, J.; Kljajic, M.; Offner, L.; Breinbauer, R. Tetrahedron 2014, 70, 8983. (b) Luh, T.-Y.; Ni, Z.-J. Synthesis 1990, 89.

(7) For main-group metal mediated hydrodesulfurization, see: (a) Screttas, G.; Micha-Screttas, M. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 713. (b) Yoshioka, H.; Matsuya, Y.; Choshi, T.; Sugino, E.; Hibino, S. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1996, 44, 709. (c) Choshi, T.; Tonari, A.; Yoshioka, H.; Harada, K.; Sugino, E.; Hibino, S. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 7952. (d) Caputo, R.; Longobardo, L.; Palumbo, G.; Pedatella, S. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 11857. (e) Nicolaou, K. C.; Hummel, C. W.; Bockovich, N. J.; Wong, C.-H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1991, 870. (f) Harring, S. R.; Livinghouse, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 1499. (g) Rao, H. S. P.; Geetha, K.; Kamairaj, M. RSC Adv. 2011, 1, 1050. (h) Natsugari, H.; Matsushita, Y.; Tamura, N.; Yoshioka, K.; Ochiai, M. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1983, 403. (i) Li, Y.; Yue, X.; Xing, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 2799.

(8) For transition-metal mediated hydrodesulfurization, see: Nickel and cobalt boride: (a) Back, T. G.; Baron, D. L.; Yang, K. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 2407. Mo $(CO)_6$ and $W(CO)_6$: (b) Luh, T.-Y.; Wong, C.-S. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 5413. (c) Ng, C. T.; Wang, X.; Luh, T.-Y. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 2536. Ni-complexes: (d) Becker, S.; Fort, Y.; Vanderesse, R.; Caubère, P. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 4848. Zn/HCl: (e) Baldwin, J. J.; Engelhardt, E. L.; Hirschmann, R.; Ponticello, G. S.; Atkinson, J. G.; Wasson, B. K.; Sweet, C. S.; Scriabine, A. J. Med. Chem. 1980, 23, 65. Zn/AcOH: (f) Yamazaki, C.; Arima, H.; Udagawa, S. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1996, 33, 41. Pd/C-hydrazine: (g) Niwas, S.; Chand, P.; Pathak, V. P.; Montgomery, J. A. J. Med. Chem. 1994, 37, 2477.

(9) For selected reviews of the activation of inert molecules by $B(C_6F_5)_3$ and its derivatives, see: (a) Stephan, D. W.; Erker, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 46. (b) Erker, G. Dalton Trans. 2005, 1883. (c) Stephan, D. W. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 1535. (d) Stephan, D. W.; Erker, G. Chem. Sci. 2014, 5, 2625.

(10) For review on the combined use of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ with hydrosilanes, see: (a) Oestreich, M.; Hermeke, J.; Mohr, J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 2202. Examples of the combined use of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ with hydrosilanes, see: (b) Blackwell, J. M.; Foster, K. L.; Beck, V. H.; Piers, W. E. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 4887. (c) Gevorgyan, V.; Liu, J.-X.; Rubin, M.; Benson, S.; Yamamoto, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 8919. (d) Gevorgyan, V.; Rubin, M.; Benson, S.; Liu, J.-X.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 6179. (e) Rubin, M.; Schwier, T.; Gevorgyan, V. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 1936. (f) Piers, W. E.; Marwitz, A. J. V.; Mercier, L. G. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 50, 12252. (g) Sakata, K.; Fujimoto, H. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 12505. (h) Blackwell, J. M.; Sonmor, E. R.; Scoccitti, T.; Piers, W. E. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 3921. (i) Chandrasekhar, S.; Reddy, C. R.; Babu, B. N. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 9080. Rosenberg et al. had reported reactions of sulfur compounds using $B(C_6F_5)_3$ with hydrosilanes; see: (j) Harrison, D. J.; Edwards, D. R.; McDonald, R.; Rosenberg, L. Dalton Trans. 2008, 3401. (k) Harison, D. J.; McDonald, R.; Rosenberg, L. Organometallics 2005, 24, 1398. (l) Lee, P. T. K.; Skjel, M. K.; Rosenberg, L. Organometallics 2013, 32, 1575.

(11) For metal-catalyzed reductive cleavage of a carbon−sulfur bond using organosilane, see: (a) Graham, T. H.; Liu, W.; Shen, D.-M. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 6232. (b) Barbero, N.; Martin, R. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 796. (c) Matsumura, T.; Niwa, T.; Nakada, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2012, 53, 4313. (d) Matsumura, T.; Nakada, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2014, 55, 1412.

(12) Although Gevorgyan et al. reported hydrosilylation of olefins, including styrene and alkyl-substitued olefins, using similar reaction conditions, we did not observe the hydrosilylated product in the reaction of the substrate 1i bearing a trans-stilbene moiety. Rubin, M.; Schweir, T.; Gevorgyan, V. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 1936.

(13) Yus, M.; Najera, C.; Foubelo, F. ́ Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 6147.

(14) For cleavage of a dithioacetal to methylene unit, see: (a) Kuwahara, S.; Shibata, Y.; Hiramatsu, A. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1992, 993. (b) Peters, J. A. M.; Posthumus, T. A. P.; Vliet, N. P.; Zeelen, F. J.; Johnson, W. S. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 2208. Cleavage of dithioacetal to sulfide, see: (c) Schmidt, K.; O'Neal, S.; Chan, T. C.; Alexis, C. P.; Uribe, J. M.; Lossener, K.; Gutierrez, C. G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 7301. (d) Stahl, I.; Kü hn, I. Chem. Ber. 1983, 116, 1739. (e) Leggetter, B. E.; Brown, R. K. Can. J. Chem. 1963, 41, 2671. (f) Gutierrez, C. G.; Stringham, R. A.; Nitasaka, T.; Glasscock, K. G. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 3393.

(15) Although the reaction mechanism for the reduction of alcohols and ethers catalyzed by $B(C_6F_5)_3$ –Et₃SiH was extensively examined in ref 10d, they concluded that reaction of substrates possessing strong cation-stabilizing groups proceeds via an S_N1 pathway. In our case, the HDSs of benzyl and tertiary sulfides proceed well. On the other hand, a primary sulfide was not applicable.